tautomer (Ib). The four atoms of the triazene *N*-oxide group are closely planar ($\chi^2 = 4$). The average plane of the group forms a dihedral angle of 11 (1)° with the phenyl ring. This is probably due to repulsion between H(C2) and H(N1) atoms [H(C2)···H(N1) = 2·39 Å, C(2)-C(1)-N(1)-N(2) = 172·3 (4)°, C(2)-C(1)-N(1)-H(N1) = -13·6 (4)°]. N(1) and N(2) atoms do not deviate significantly from the phenyl ring [-0·056 (2) and -0·020 (2) Å, respectively], hence the delocalization is extended to these atoms, resulting in the short C(1)-N(1) distance of 1·403 (3) Å. The N(1) and O(1) atoms are *cis* to each other.

The four atoms of the amide group are highly planar $(\chi^2 = 0)$, and the group is inclined at an angle of 27 (1)° to the average plane of the phenyl group. This probably results from steric hindrance between N(4) and C(5) and between the O(2) and C(3) atoms. The H(N42)... H(C5) distance is 2.21 (4) Å, which would be 2.02 Å if the two groups were coplanar.

Both of the amide hydrogen atoms participate in hydrogen bonding. H(N41) forms a bond to the O(2) atom of the neighbouring molecule related by an inversion centre, while H(N42) links an *a*-glide-plane-related molecule *via* O(2) [H(N41)... O(2) = 1.83 (4) Å, O(2)...H(N41)-N(4) = 170 (4)°; H(N42)...O(2) = 2.11 (5) Å, O(2)...H(N42)-N(4) = 166 (4)°].

We are grateful to the Cancer Research Campaign and Medical Research Council for support.

References

- CONNORS, T. A., GODDARD, P. M., MERAI, K., ROSS, W. C. J. & WILMAN, D. E. V. (1976). Biochem. Pharmacol. 25, 241-246.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1980). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, Holland.
- GIUMANINI, A. G., LASSIANI, L., NISI, C., PETRIC, A. & STANOVNIK, B. (1983). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 56, 1887–1888.
- HESS, F. K., STEWART, P. B., POSSANZA, G. J. & FRETER, K., (1974). German Patent No. 230/820; Chem. Abstr. 81, 104976m.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1982). MULTAN82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- MITSUHASHI, T., OSAMURA, Y. & SHIMAMURA, O. (1965). Tetrahedron Lett. **30**, 2593–2596.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A34, 158-166.
- WILMAN, D. E. V. (1985). Triazene N-oxides. In Proc. of the 3rd International Symposium on the Biological Oxidation of Nitrogen in Organic Molecules, edited by J. W. GORROD & L. A. DAMANI. Chichester: Ellis Horwood. In the press.
- WILMAN, D. E. V. & FARMER, P. B. (1985). Alkylating Agents. In Progressive Stages of Neoplastic Growth, edited by H. E. KAISER. Oxford: Pergamon Press. In the press.

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 1545-1547

Trichloro(2-phenylthiophenyl)tellurium

By R. CHAKRAVORTY,* K. J. IRGOLIC AND EDWARD A. MEYERS†

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA

(Received 17 June 1983; accepted 9 July 1985)

Abstract. [Te(C₁₂H₉S)Cl₃], $M_r = 419 \cdot 2$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a = 9 \cdot 428$ (2), $b = 11 \cdot 878$ (1), $c = 13 \cdot 095$ (2) Å, $\beta = 96 \cdot 57$ (1)°, $V = 1456 \cdot 8$ Å³, $D_x = 1 \cdot 91$ Mg m⁻³, Z = 4, Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu = 2.77$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 800, T = 296 K, R = 0.033 for 2283 reflections with $I > 0.5\sigma(I)$. In C₆H₅-S-C₆H₄-TeCl₃ the phenyl rings and C-Te and C-S bonds are normal, as is the T-shaped TeCl₃ group. There is a close contact between the *ortho* substituents Te and S.

0108-2701/85/101545-03\$01.50

Introduction. It was of interest to determine the structure of the title compound in order to (1) establish the coordination around Te and (2) find out how the Group VI *ortho* substituents, S and Te, affect each other and the remainder of the structure.



© 1985 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} On leave from the Department of Chemistry, Rammohan College, Calcutta, India.

[†] Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

Experimental. X-ray data were collected by The Molecular Structure Corporation, College Station, Texas, from a yellow needle-like crystal $(0.15 \times$ 0.15×0.25 mm) mounted on a glass fiber. D_m not determined. All data obtained with graphitemonochromatized Mo Ka radiation from an Enraf-Monoclinic cell Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. parameters calculated by least-squares refinement of 2θ values for 25 reflections. Intensities of 2698 reflections measured by scanning in 2θ ($2\theta_{max} = 50^{\circ}$). When all systematically absent reflections, as well as others for which $I < 0.5\sigma(I)$, were eliminated, 2283 reflections remained. Intensities of three check reflections measured periodically showed no significant crystal decay. Corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects, but not for absorption since the crystal was small and $\mu = 2.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$. A Patterson function yielded coordinates for Te, and electron-density maps and weighted least-squares refinements yielded parameters for Te, Cl, S and C atoms. After refinement with anisotropic temperature factors for Te, Cl and S, and with isotropic values for C, the positions of the nine H atoms were calculated (C-H = 1.08 Å). Each H atom was assigned the temperature factor of the C atom to which it was attached. All of the H-atom parameters were fixed, while the scale factor and all other atomic coordinates and anisotropic temperature factors were varied in weighted block-diagonal least-squares refinements until $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.04$. $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ minimized; R = 0.033, wR = 0.042; $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$; $\sigma(I)$ = $[\sigma_c^2 + (0.05I)^2]^{1/2}$, with σ_c calculated from counting statistics; S = 1.15 for 154 refined parameters. No correction for secondary extinction. The program SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976), modified for a DEC 1144 computer, was used. Scattering factors, including anomalous-dispersion corrections, were taken from those supplied with the program and from Cromer & Liberman (1970), Cromer & Mann (1968) and Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). The largest residues on a final difference density map were +0.42 and $-0.58 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$.

Discussion. Final atomic parameters are given in Table 1.* An *ORTEP* drawing of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1 (Johnson, 1971) and some interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The weighted average of the C-C distances in phenyl ring A [C(1),...,C(6)] is 1.382 (3) [2] Å, and in phenyl ring B [C(7),...,C(12)] is 1.377 (7) [3] Å; the corresponding average C-C-C angles are 120.1 (6) [2] and 120.0 (5)

[2]°. (When two values are given, the e.s.d.'s in parentheses have been calculated from the deviations of the individual values from the weighted mean, and those in square brackets from the e.s.d.'s of the individual

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors (Å²)

 $U_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* (\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j)$. The e.s.d.'s of the last digit(s) are given in parentees. H atom parameters were not refined.

	x	y	Ζ	U_{eq}
Te	0.14496 (3)	0.13267 (2)	0.08329 (2)	0.0444
Cl(1)	0.3160 (2)	0.2560(1)	0.0062 (1)	0.0726
Cl(2)	0.1001(1)	0.2766 (1)	0.1955(1)	0.0695
Cl(3)	-0.0092 (1)	0.0018 (1)	0.1711(1)	0.0677
S	0.3174 (1)	-0.0537(1)	0.0096(1)	0.0538
C(1)	0.3210 (4)	0.0691 (3)	0.1798 (3)	0.0408
C(2)	0.3840 (4)	-0.0217 (3)	0.1378 (3)	0.0440
C(3)	0.5016 (5)	<i>−</i> 0·0729 (4)	0.1923 (4)	0.0594
C(4)	0.5504 (5)	-0.0329 (4)	0.2883 (4)	0.0648
C(5)	0.4881 (5)	0.0600 (4)	0.3296 (3)	0.0614
C(6)	0.3708 (5)	0.1117 (4)	0.2754 (3)	0.0515
C(7)	0.2568 (4)	-0·1953 (4)	0.0138 (4)	0.0528
C(8)	0.2774 (6)	-0.2602 (4)	-0.0704 (4)	0.0656
C(9)	0.2303 (7)	-0.3704 (5)	<i>−</i> 0·0737 (6)	0.0881
C(10)	0.1693 (6)	-0.4156 (5)	0.0035 (7)	0.0926
C(11)	0.1454 (6)	-0.3502 (5)	0.0876 (5)	0.0895
C(12)	0.1911 (6)	-0·2383 (4)	0.0934 (4)	0.0707

Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) withe.s.d.'s in parentheses

Te-Cl(1) Te-Cl(2) Te-Cl(3) Te-C(1) S-C(2) S-C(2) S-C(7) C(1)-C(2) C(2)-C(3) C(3)-C(4)	2.477 (2) 2.324 (1) 2.497 (1) 2.972 (1) 2.108 (4) 1.765 (4) 1.779 (5) 1.376 (5) 1.388 (6) 1.373 (7)	C(4)-C(5) C(5)-C(6) C(6)-C(1) C(7)-C(8) C(8)-C(9) C(9)-C(10) C(10)-C(11) C(11)-C(12) C(12)-C(7)	1.388 (7) 1.387 (6) 1.382 (5) 1.377 (7) 1.381 (8) 1.332 (11) 1.387 (10) 1.397 (8) 1.371 (7)
Cl(1)-Te-Cl(2) Cl(2)-Te-Cl(3) Cl(3)-Te-VS STe-Cl(1) C(1)-Te-Cl(1) C(1)-Te-Cl(2) C(1)-Te-Cl(3) C(1)-Te-Cl(3) Cl(2)-Te-VS Te-VS-C(2) Te-C(1)-C(2) Te-C(1)-C(2) S-C(2)-C(3)	89.81 (4) 90.53 (4) 93.13 (4) 84.40 (4) 87.2 (1) 94.1 (1) 87.8 (1) 60.4 (1) 174.97 (4) 154.01 (3) 71.3 (1) 112.8 (3) 125.1 (3) 115.2 (3) 124.8 (3)	$\begin{array}{c} C(2)-S-C(7)\\ S-C(7)-C(8)\\ S-C(7)-C(12)\\ C(6)-C(1)-C(2)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(3)-C(4)-C(5)\\ C(4)-C(5)-C(6)\\ C(5)-C(6)-C(1)\\ C(12)-C(7)-C(8)\\ C(7)-C(8)-C(9)\\ C(8)-C(9)-C(10)\\ C(9)-C(10)-C(11)\\ C(10)-C(11)-C(12)-C(7)\\ \end{array}$	104.8 (2) 115.4 (4) 123.4 (4) 122.1 (4) 119.6 (4) 119.6 (4) 121.3 (4) 120.0 (4) 118.0 (4) 121.2 (4) 118.0 (4) 121.2 (4) 118.8 (6) 121.6 (7) 120.0 (6) 119.9 (6) 118.5 (5)
	0		



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic projection of $|Te(C_{12}H_9S)Cl_3|$.

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters, mean-planes and dihedral angles, and shortest intermolecular distances have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42359 (21 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

values.) The average C–C distances are 0.01 to 0.02 Å shorter than expected, with the greater deviation associated with ring B, where the thermal parameters are large. Also, there are large deviations in bond angles from 120° which do not seem to follow a simple pattern. The average C–S distance is 1.771(7)[3]Å, which is slightly shorter than that proposed by Domenicano, Vaciago & Coulson (1975), 1.781 (3) Å. The distance $Te-C = 2 \cdot 108$ (4) Å is normal (Mangion, Zingaro & Meyers, 1975). The unit [TeCl₂] is T-shaped with long distances Te-Cl = 2.490(9) [1] Å in the linear part, with angle Cl(1)-Te- $Cl(3) = 174.97 (4)^{\circ}$, and with Te-Cl(2) = 2.324 (1) Å as the short distance. These values are in agreement with those formed in other structures that involve Te-Cl bonds (Mangion, Zingaro & Meyers, 1975). An examination of the remaining bond angles around Te, all of which are close to 90°, makes it seem reasonable to describe qualitatively the Te bonding by use of the Te 5porbitals, with Cl(1)-Te-Cl(3) a three-center bond.

In addition to the atoms that are unequivocally bonded to Te, there is a short Te...S distance, 2.972 (1) Å, with 'adjacent' angles C(2)--C(1)-Te = 112.8 (3) and C(1)--C(2)-S = 115.2 (3)°. This geometry suggests a significant Te...S intramolecular interaction. The maximum deviation from the best plane through phenyl ring A is 0.013 Å for C(4). The deviations of other atoms from this plane are: Te -0.020, Cl(1), 2.455, Cl(2) 0.025, Cl(3) -2.514, S 0.177 Å. For comparison, the maximum deviation in phenyl ring B is for C(10) (0.016 Å) and S is only -0.017 Å from the plane. The angle between the rings is 78.8°.

Ricci & Bernal (1971) commented on close contacts between S and O in $C_{20}H_{24}O_4S_8$ (I).



The ethoxy groups, EtO, are nearly coplanar with their phenyl ring, and the phenyl rings are nearly parallel to one another. The average C-C-O angle next to 'adjacent' S is $115.3 (4)^\circ$, and C-C-S next to 'adjacent' O is 116.2 (4)°, with intramolecular S... O = 2.775 Å. Systematic correlations in S...S and S-C distances and for interior C-C-S angles were obtained by Cowie & Bennett (1976) for a series of metal derivatives of 1,2-dithiobenzene. In $Mo(S_2C_6H_4)_3$, the values are $S\cdots S = 3.110$ (8), S- $C-C-S = 119.4^{\circ};$ C = 1.727 (6) Å, and in $[N(CH_3)_4]_2[Zr(S_2C_6H_4)_3], S-S = 3.265 (14), S-C =$ 1.765 (7) Å, $C-C-S = 122.0^{\circ}$. These variations are complicated by the presence of the metal species. but they serve to show that the $Te \cdots S$ distance in the present compound is extraordinarily short. In catechol, $C_{4}H_{4}(OH)_{2}$ (Brown, 1966), there is conflicting evidence for short contacts between adjacent O atoms: the C-C-O angles are 121.0 and 117.1° . Recent work by Von Deuten & Klar (1979, 1981) has shown for 1,2,4,5-tetramethoxybenzene, $C_{10}H_{14}O_4$, and for bis-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) sulfide, $C_{16}H_{18}O_4S$, that the 'adjacent' C-C-O angles vary from 114.9 (4) to 116.7 (2)°. In other work (Von Deuten, Kopf & Klar, 1979), for molecule (II), $C_{24}H_{24}O_6S_3$, and its CuBr adduct, it was found that 'adjacent' C-C-O angles varied from 114.4 (4) to 118.1 (16)°, while 'adjacent' C-C-S angles varied from 118.9 (14) to 130.1 (4)°. In (II), the C–S–C angle ranged from 100.9 (2) to 111.0°, compared to 104.8 (2)° in the present structure. There appears to be sufficient variety in the geometry of these benzene derivatives that have chalcogen atoms ortho to each other to warrant further studies.



Support of this investigation by the Robert A. Welch Foundation of Houston, Texas, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- BROWN, C. J. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 170–174.
- COWIE, M. & BENNETT, M. J. (1976). Inorg. Chem. 15, 1584–1589, 1589–1595, 1595–1603.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891-1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- DOMENICANO, A., VACIAGO, A. & COULSON, C. A. (1975). Acta. Cryst. B31, 1630–1641.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1971). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MANGION, M. M., ZINGARO, R. A. & MEYERS, E. A. (1975). Chem. Scr. 8A, 45–50.
- RICCI, J. S. JR & BERNAL, I. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. B, pp. 1928–1932.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. A program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- VON DEUTEN, K. & KLAR, G. (1979). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 8, 1017–1021.
- VON DEUTEN, K. & KLAR, G. (1981). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 10, 231–238.
- VON DEUTEN, K., KOPF, J. & KLAR, G. (1979). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 8, 569-575, 721-728.